arXiv:1702.03102v1 [math.CO] 10 Feb 2017

On jumped Wenger graphs

Li-Ping Wang[a], Daqing Wan[b], Weiqiong Wang[c], Haiyan Zhou[d,*]

[a]*State Key Laboratory of Information Security, Institute of Information Engineering, Data Assurance and Communications Security Research Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China*
[b]*Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA*
[c]*School of Science, Chang'an University, Xi'an 710064, China*
[d]*School of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China*

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new infinite class of bipartite graphs, called jumped Wenger graphs, which are closely related to Wenger graphs. An tight upper bound of the diameter and the exact girth of a jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q,i,j)$ for integers i,j, $1 \le i < j \le m+2$, are determined. In particular, the exact diameter of the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q,i,j)$ if $(i,j) = (m,m+2),(m+1,m+2)$ or $(m,m+1)$ is also obtained.

Keywords: Wenger graph, Diameter, Girth, Algebraic graph theory.

1. Introduction

Recently, researchers focus on a class of bipartite graphs related to Wenger graphs because of their nice graphical theory properties [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10]. First we introduce these graphs.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of order $q = p^e$ where p is a prime and e is a positive integer. Let m be a positive integer and let $\mathfrak{P} = \mathbb{F}_q^{m+1}$ and $\mathfrak{L} = \mathbb{F}_q^{m+1}$ be two copies of the $(m+1)$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_q$, which are called the point set and the line set respectively. Let $\mathfrak{G} = (V,E)$ be the bipartite graph with the vertex set $V = \mathfrak{P} \cup \mathfrak{L}$ and the edge set E is defined as

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: wangliping@iie.ac.cn (Li-Ping Wang), dwan@math.uci.edu (Daqing Wan), wqwang@chd.edu.cn (Weiqiong Wang), haiyanxiaodong@gmail.com (Haiyan Zhou)

follow: there is an edge from a point $P = (p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{m+1}) \in \mathfrak{P}$ to a line $L = [l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_{m+1}] \in \mathfrak{L}$, denoted by PL, if the following m equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} l_2 + p_2 &= l_1 f_2(p_1) \\ l_3 + p_3 &= l_1 f_3(p_1) \\ \vdots \quad &\vdots \quad \vdots \\ l_{m+1} + p_{m+1} &= l_1 f_{m+1}(p_1), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ for $2 \le i \le m+1$.

If $(1, f_2(x), \ldots, f_{m+1}(x)) = (1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^m)$, this class of graphs is called Wenger graphs [1]. If $(1, f_2(x), \ldots, f_{m+1}(x)) = (1, x, x^p, \ldots, x^{p^{m-1}})$, this class of graphs is called linearized Wenger graphs [2]. In this paper, we focus on the more general case when $(1, f_2(x), \ldots, f_{m+1}(x)) = (1, x, \ldots, x^{i-1}, x^{i+1}, \ldots, x^{j-1}, x^{j+1}, \ldots, x^{m+2})$, with $1 \le i < j \le m+2$, which is called the jumped Wenger graphs with jump points at x^i and x^j, denoted by $J_m(q, i, j)$. In particular, if $j = m+2$, that is, $(1, f_2(x), \ldots, f_{m+1}(x)) = (1, x, \ldots, x^{i-1}, x^{i+1}, \ldots, x^m, x^{m+1})$, the graphs has only one jump point at x^i. If $i = m+1$ and $j = m+2$, the graphs become Wenger graphs, denoted by $W_m(q)$.

It is easy to get some properties from [2].

Proposition 1. *The jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q, i, j)$ for $1 \le i < j \le m+1$ is q-regular.*

Proposition 2. *If $m + 2 < q$, the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q, i, j)$ for $1 \le i < j \le m+1$ is connect.*

In Section 2 we give an upper bound of the diameter of a jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q, i, j)$ for any integers i, j, $1 \le i < j \le m+2$ and the exact diameter for some special jumped Wenger graphs such as $(i, j) = (m, m+2), (m+1, m+2)$ or $(m, m+1)$. In Section 3, we determine the girth of a jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q, i, j)$ for $1 \le i < j \le m+2$. Finally, we give the conclusions.

2. The diameter of jumped Wenger graphs

Recall that a sequence of distinct vertices $v_1, \cdots, v_s$ in a simple connected graph $\mathfrak{G} = (V, E)$ defines a *path* of length $s-1$ if $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ for every

$i, 1 \leq i \leq s-1$. The *distance* between v_i and v_j is the number of edges in a shortest path joining v_i and v_j. The *diameter* of a connected graph $\mathfrak{G}$ is the maximum distance between any two vertices of $\mathfrak{G}$. In [9] it is shown that the diameter of the Wenger graph $W_m(q)$ is $2m+2$ if $1 \leq m \leq q-1$. The result for the linearized Wenger graphs also hold if $m \leq e$ in [1]. By Proposition 2, for any $1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$, the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q,i,j)$ is connected if $m+2 < q$. So we consider the diameter of these jumped Wenger graphs in this section.

Assume that $L = [l_1, \ldots, l_{m+1}]$ and $L' = [l'_1, \ldots, l'_{m+1}]$ are two lines and they share a point $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_{m+1})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_k + p_k &= l_1 f_k(p_1), k = 2, \ldots, m+1 \\ l'_k + p_k &= l'_1 f_k(p_1), k = 2, \ldots, m+1, \end{aligned}$$

and so we get

$$l_k - l'_k = (l_1 - l'_1) f_k(p_1), k = 2, \ldots, m+1.$$

We obtain the following fact which will be used later.

Fact 1. L and L' with a common point are the same line if $l_1 = l'_1$.

Assume $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_{m+1})$ and $P' = (p'_1, \ldots, p'_{m+1})$ be two points, both of which share a line $L = [l_1, \ldots, l_{m+1}]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_k + p_k &= l_1 f_k(p_1), k = 2, \ldots, m+1 \\ l_k + p'_k &= l_1 f_k(p'_1), k = 2, \ldots, m+1, \end{aligned}$$

and so we get

$$p_k - p'_k = l_1(f_k(p_1) - f_k(p'_1)), k = 2, \ldots, m+1.$$

In this case we have $P - P' = (p_1 - p'_1, l_1(p_1 - p'_1), \ldots, l_1(p_1^{i-1} - (p'_1)^{i-1}), l_1(p_1^{i+1} - (p'_1)^{i+1}), \ldots, l_1(p_1^{j-1} - (p'_1)^{j-1}), \ldots, l_1(p_1^{m+2} - (p'_1)^{m+2}))$ and so we obtain the following fact.

Fact 2. The P and P' sharing the same line are identical if $p_1 = p'_1$.

Next, we recall elementary symmetric polynomials. If $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x - x_i) = x^n - a_1 x^{n-1} + a_2 x^{n-2} + \ldots + (-1)^n a_n$, then the kth elementary symmetric polynomials $\sigma_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

In addition, we define $\sigma_0(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=1$ and $\sigma_{-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=0$. So it is straightforward to have the following iterative identity

$$\sigma_k(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=x_n\sigma_{k-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})+\sigma_k(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}). \tag{2}$$

For simplicity, we denote

$$\sigma_{i,j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\sigma_i(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\sigma_{j+1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)-\sigma_j(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\sigma_{i+1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n),$$

$$M_{l,i,j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1\\ x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_n\\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots\\ x_1^{i-1} & x_2^{i-1} & \ldots & x_n^{i-1}\\ x_1^{i+1} & x_2^{i+1} & \ldots & x_n^{i+1}\\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots\\ x_1^{j-1} & x_2^{j-1} & \ldots & x_n^{j-1}\\ x_1^{j+1} & x_2^{j+1} & \ldots & x_n^{j+1}\\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots\\ x_1^{l+1} & x_2^{l+1} & \ldots & x_n^{l+1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$V_l(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1\\ x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_n\\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \ldots & x_n^2\\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots\\ x_1^{l-1} & x_2^{l-1} & \ldots & x_n^{l-1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for a vector } (x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{F}_q^n$$

and $0\le i<j\le l+1$.

Lemma 1. *For a vector $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{F}_q^n$ and $1\le i<j\le n+1$, we have*

$$|M_{n,i,j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)|=(-1)^{i+j-1}\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\prod_{1\le k<l\le n}(x_l-x_k)$$

and so the determinant is not 0 if and only if the x_i are distinct and $\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\neq 0$.

Proof. Consider the following determinant

$$\begin{aligned}|V_{n+2}(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x,y)| &= (y-x)\prod_{k=1}^{n}(y-x_k)\prod_{k=1}^{n}(x-x_k)\prod_{1\le k<l\le n}(x_l-x_k)\\ &= (y-x)\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k\sigma_k(x_1,\ldots,x_n)y^{n-k}\\ &\quad\times\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^k\sigma_k(x_1,\ldots,x_n)x^{n-k}\prod_{1\le k<l\le n}(x_l-x_k).\end{aligned}$$

So $(-1)^{i+j+1}|M_{n,i,j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)|$ is the coefficient of x^iy^j in $|V_{n+2}(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x,y)|$, i.e., $(-1)^{i+j+1}\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\prod_{1\le k<l\le n}(x_l-x_k)$. □

If $j=n+1$, it is reduced to the case of just deleting x^i and so we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For any i with $1\le i\le n$, we have*

$$|M_{n,i,n+1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)| = (-1)^{i+n}\sigma_{n-i}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\prod_{1\le k<l\le n}(x_l-x_k).$$

Lemma 2. *Let $q>n+1$. For any integer i, $0\le i\le n$, there are distinct $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\sigma_{n-i}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\neq 0$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n-i$. If $n-i=0$, that is, $i=n$, the result holds obviously since $\sigma_0(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=1$. Suppose that the result holds for $n-i-1$. Since $\sigma_{n-i}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = x_n\sigma_{n-i-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) + \sigma_{n-i}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$, by induction hypothesis, there are distinct $x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}$ such that $\sigma_{n-i-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})\neq 0$. Because of $q>n+1$, one can choose x_n different from all other x_i, $1\le i\le n-1$, such that $\sigma_{n-i}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\neq 0$. □

Lemma 3. *Let $q>n+1$. For any integers i,j, $0\le i<j\le n+1$, there are distinct $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\neq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, the result holds for only induction on $n-i$ or $n-j$. Then we proceed by induction on both $n-i$ and $n-j$. If $n-i=0$ and $n-j=-1$, we have $\sigma_{0,-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sigma_0(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\sigma_0(x_1,\ldots,x_n) -$

$\sigma_1(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\sigma_{-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=1$ and so the result holds . Suppose that the result holds for any $n-j-1$ and $n-i-1$. By Eq. (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) &= x_n^2\sigma_{n-i-1,n-j-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})+x_n(\sigma_{n-i-1}(x_1,\ldots,\\ &\quad x_{n-1})\sigma_{n-j+1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})-\sigma_{n-i+1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})\\ &\quad \sigma_{n-j-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}))+\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}).(3)\end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, there are distinct $x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}\in\mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$\sigma_{n-i-1,n-j-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})\neq 0$$

and so one can choose x_n not only different from $x_1,\ \ldots,x_{n-1}$ but also different from any roots of the above quadratic Eq. (3) since $q>n+1$. □

Lemma 4. *Let $q>n+1$. For any fixed $x_1\in\mathbb{F}_q$, any integer $0\le i<j\le n+1$, there are distinct $x_2,\ldots,x_n\in\mathbb{F}_q$, which are also different from x_1, such that $\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\neq 0$.*

Proof. We also proceed by induction on both $n-i$ and $n-j$. If $n-i=0$ and $n-j=-1$, we have $\sigma_{0,-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=1$ and so the result holds clearly. Suppose the result holds for $n-j-1$ and $n-i-1$ for fixed x_1. By Eq. (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) &= x_n^2\sigma_{n-i-1,n-j-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})+x_n(\sigma_{n-i-1}(x_1,\ldots,\\ &\quad x_{n-1})\sigma_{n-j+1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})-\sigma_{n-i+1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})\\ &\quad \sigma_{n-j-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}))+\sigma_{n-i,n-j}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}).(4)\end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, there are distinct $x_2,\ldots,x_{n-1}$, which is also different from x_1, such that $\sigma_{n-i-1,n-j-1}(x_1,\ldots,\ x_{n-1})\neq 0$ and so one can choose x_n not only different from $x_1,\ x_2,\ \ldots,x_{n-1}$ but also different from any roots of the above quadratic Eq. (4) since $q>n+1$. □

Theorem 1. *If $1\le m<q-2$, the diameter of the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q,i,j)$ for any integers i,j, $1\le i<j\le m+1$, is at most $2(m+1)$.*

Proof. First, we consider the distance between any two vertices L and L' in $\mathfrak{L}$ of the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q,i,j)$. If $L_1P_1\ldots P_sL_{s+1}$ is a path in $J_m(q,i,j)$ between $L=L_1$ and $L'=L_{s+1}$, where $L_h=[l_1^{(h)},\cdots,l_{m+1}^{(h)}]$ and $P_h=(p_1^{(h)},\cdots,p_{m+1}^{(h)})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}l_k^{(h+1)}-l_k^{(h)} &= (l_1^{(h+1)}-l_1^{(h)})f_k(p_1^{(h)}),k=2,\cdots,m,h=1,\cdots,s.\\ l_{m+1}^{(h+1)}-l_{m+1}^{(h)} &= (l_1^{(m+1)}-l_1^{(m)})f_{m+1}(p_1^{(h)}),h=1,\cdots,s.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore there are elements $t_h = l_1^{(h+1)} - l_1^{(h)}$, $x_h = p_1^{(h)} \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $1 \le h \le s$, such that

$$(L_{s+1} - L_1)^T = M_{m+1,i,j}(x_1, \ldots, x_s)(t_1 \;\; t_2 \;\; \ldots \;\; t_s)^T. \tag{5}$$

In addition, by Fact 1 and 2, $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ should be distinct and $t_i \neq 0$ for all i, $1 \le i \le s$.

For any integers i, j, $1 \le i < j \le m+2$, take $s = m+1$ in Eq. (5). By Lemma 1 and 3, there are distinct $x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $|M_{m+1,i,j}(x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1})| \neq 0$, and thus Eq. (5) has a unique solution for $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s$. If some $t_i = 0$, then P_i and L_{i+1} can be deleted from the path. Thus the distance of any two vertices in $\mathfrak{L}$ is at most $2(m+1)$.

Then, consider any vertices P and P' in $\mathfrak{P}$. Let $P_1 L_1 \ldots L_s P_{s+1}$ be a path in $J_m(q, i, j)$ between $P = P_1$ and $P' = P_{s+1}$, where $L_h = [l_1^{(h)}, \ldots, l_{m+1}^{(h)}]$ and $P_h = (p_1^{(h)}, \ldots, p_{m+1}^{(h)})$. Therefore, we have

$$(P_{s+1} - P_1)^T = M_{m+1,i,j}(x_1, \ldots, x_s)(t_1 \;\; t_2 \;\; \ldots \;\; t_s)^T, \tag{6}$$

where $x_h = p_1^{(h)}$, $1 \le h \le s$, $t_h = l_1^{(h)} - l_1^{(h+1)}$, $h = 2, \ldots, s-1$, $t_1 = -l_1^{(1)}$, $t_s = l_1^{(s)}$. Similarly, take $s = m+1$. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, there are distinct $x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $|M_{m+1,i,j}(x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1})| \neq 0$, and thus Eq. (6) has a unique solution for $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_s$. Thus the distance of any two vertices in $\mathfrak{P}$ is at most $2(m+1)$.

Next, we consider the distance between a vertex $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_{m+1}) \in \mathfrak{P}$ and a vertex $L \in \mathfrak{L}$. First we choose a line $L_1 \in \mathfrak{L}$ such that it is adjacent to P. From the earlier discussion, there exists a path from L_1 to $L = L_{s+1}$ with distance at most $2(m+1)$. We modify the earlier construction so that the path goes through the vertex P. Namely, In Eq. (5), we let $x_1 = p_1$ and choose distinct $x_2, \ldots, x_n$ so that $|M_{m+1,i,j}(x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1})| \neq 0$ by Lemma 1 and 4 . Then there is a unique solution $t_1, \ldots, t_{m+1}$ and so there is a path between L_1 and L with length at most $2(m+1)$ passing through P by Fact 1. Therefore the distance of P and L is less than or equal to $2(m+1)$.

Finally, we obtain the same result for the distance between a line L and a point P. □

Theorem 2. *If* $(i, j) = (m, m+2), (m+1, m+2), (m, m+1)$, *then the diameter of* $J_m(q, i, j)$ *is* $2(m+1)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, it suffices to show that there are L and L' in $\mathfrak{L}$ with $L - L' = [0, \ldots, 0, 1]$ such that the distance between them is at least $2(m+1)$. Otherwise, there is a shortest path $LP_1 \ldots P_s L'$ between L and L' with $s \leq m$, where $P_h = (p_1^{(h)}, \ldots, p_{m+1}^{(h)})$ and $x_h = p_1^{(h)}$ for $1 \leq h \leq s$. The Eq. (5) is easily reduced to

$$(L' - L)^T = M_{s',i,j}(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{s'})(t_1, \ldots, t_{s'})^T, \tag{7}$$

where $s' \leq s \leq m$ and $x'_1, \ldots, x'_{s'}$ are distinct. Since $(i,j) = (m, m+2), (m+1, m+2), (m, m+1)$, the first s' rows of $M_{s',i,j}(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{s'})$ are Vandermond determinant and so $t_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s'$, which contradicts with $t'_1(x'_1)^{m+1} + \ldots + t_{s'}(x_{s'})^{m+1} = 1$, $t'_1(x'_1)^m + \ldots + t_{s'}(x_{s'})^m = 1$, or $t'_1(x'_1)^{m+2} + \ldots + t_{s'}(x_{s'})^{m+2} = 1$, respectively. □

3. The girth of jumped Wenger graphs

In graph theory, the *girth* of a graph is the length of a shortest cycle contained in the graph. In [3, 7], it is proved that the Wenger graphs have girth 8 or 6 up to the choices of q and m. In [2] authors proved that the linearized Wenger graphs have girth 8 or 6 depending on the choices of q and m. In this section we consider the girth of the jumped Wenger graphs.

It is no harm to assume that $L_1 P_1 L_2 \ldots P_s L_1$ is a cycle of length $2s$, where $P_h = (p_1^{(h)}, \ldots, p_{m+1}^{(h)})$ and $L_h = [l_1^{(h)}, \ldots, l_{m+1}^{(h)}]$, $h = 1, \ldots, s$.

For those L_i, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 - L_1 &= [t_1, t_1 f_2(p_1^{(1)}), \ldots, t_1 f_{m+1}(p_1^{(1)})] \\
L_3 - L_2 &= [t_2, t_2 f_2(p_1^{(2)}), \ldots, t_2 f_{m+1}(p_1^{(2)})] \\
\ldots\ldots &\quad \ldots\ldots \\
L_s - L_{s-1} &= [t_{s-1}, t_{s-1} f_2(p_1^{(s-1)}), \ldots, t_{s-1} f_{m+1}(p_1^{(s-1)})] \\
L_1 - L_s &= [t_s, t_s f_2(p_1^{(s)}), \ldots, t_s f_{m+1}(p_1^{(s)})],
\end{aligned}$$

where $t_h = l_1^{(h+1)} - l_1^{(h)}$, for $h = 1, \ldots, s-1$, $t_s = l_1^{(1)} - l_1^{(s)}$.

Therefore we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ f_2(p_1^{(1)}) & f_2(p_1^{(2)}) & \cdots & f_2(p_1^{(s)}) \\ f_3(p_1^{(1)}) & f_3(p_1^{(2)}) & \cdots & f_3(p_1^{(s)}) \\ \cdots\cdots & \cdots\cdots & \cdots\cdots & \cdots\cdots \\ f_{m+1}(p_1^{(1)}) & f_{m+1}(p_1^{(2)}) & \cdots & f_{m+1}(p_1^{(s)}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_s \end{pmatrix} = 0 \qquad (8)$$

Again according to Fact 1 and 2, if $L_1P_1 \dots P_sL_1$ is a cycle of length $2s$, then $t_h \neq 0$ for all $h = 1, \dots, s$, $p_1^{(h)} - p_1^{(h+1)} \neq 0$ for $h = 1, \dots, s-1$ and $p_1^{(s)} - p_1^{(1)} \neq 0$.

In this paper we consider $(1, f_2(x), \dots, f_{m+1}(x)) = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{i-1}, x^{i+1}, \dots, x^{j-1}, x^{j+1}, \dots, x^{m+2})$ and (8) can be written as

$$M_{m+1,i,j}(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_1^{(s)})(t_1 \dots t_s)^T = 0. \qquad (9)$$

First we give an upper bound for the girth of the jumped Wenger graphs.

Theorem 3. *For any integers i, j, $1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$, the girth of the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q, i, j)$ is less than or equal to 8.*

Proof. It suffices to find a cycle of length 8 in any graph $J_m(q, i, j)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$. Putting $s = 4$, $p_1^{(1)} = p_1^{(3)} = 0$, $p_1^{(2)} = p_1^{(4)} = 1$ in (9), the rank of $M_{m+1,i,j}(0, 1, 0, 1)$ is 2 and $t_1 + t_3 = 0$ and $t_2 + t_4 = 0$. Let $l_1^{(1)} = 0$, $l_1^{2)} = 1$, $l_1^{(3)} = 0$, $l_1^{(4)} = 1$ and $P_1 = (0, \dots, 0)$. A cycle $L_1P_1L_2P_2L_3P_3L_4P_4L_1$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= (0, 0, \dots, 0), \quad P_2 = (1, 1, \dots, 1), \\ P_3 &= (0, 1, \dots, 1), \quad P_4 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \\ L_1 &= (0, 0, \dots, 0), \quad L_2 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \\ L_3 &= (0, 1, \dots, 1), \quad L_4 = (1, 1, \dots, 1), \end{aligned}$$

is required. □

Next, we determine the exact girth case by case.

Theorem 4. *If $m = 1$, the girth of the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q, i, j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$, is 4 in the following cases :*

(a) $(i, j) = (1, 3)$ and $char(q) \neq 2$;

(b) $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ and $3|(q-1)$.

For $m = 1$, the girth of the jumped Wenger graph $J_m(q, i, j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m + 2$, is 6 in the following cases:

(a) $(i, j) = (1, 3)$ and $p = char(q) = 2$;

(b) $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ and $3 \nmid (q - 1)$;

(c) $(i, j) = (2, 3)$ and $q \neq 2, 3$.

The girth of $J_2(q, 2, 3)$ if $q = 2$ or 3 is 8.

The proof is straightforward and so is omitted here.

Lemma 5 ([6], Lemma 6.24)**.** *For odd q, let $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and η be the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then the number of solutions of the equation*

$$a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 = b$$

is $q + v(b)\eta(-a_1 a_2)$, where $v(b) = -1$ for $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $v(0) = q - 1$.

Lemma 6 ([6], Theorem 6.32)**.** *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q even and let $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then for odd n, the number of solutions of the equation*

$$x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 + \ldots + x_{n-1} x_n + x_n^2 = b$$

in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is q^{n-1}. For even n, the number of solutions of the equation

$$x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 + \ldots + x_{n-1} x_n = b$$

in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is $q^{n-1} + v(b)q^{(n-2)/2}$. For even n and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $tr_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(a) = 1$, the number of solutions of the equation

$$x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 + \ldots + x_{n-1} x_n + x_{n-1}^2 + a x_n^2 = b$$

in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ is $q^{n-1} - v(b)q^{(n-2)/2}$. The definition of $v(b)$ is the same as Lemma 5.

Lemma 7. *For distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the system of equations*

$$\begin{cases} t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 0 \\ a t_1 + b t_2 + c t_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

has solution (t_1, t_2, t_3) and $t_i \neq 0$ for all i, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

The proof is trivial and so omitted here.

Lemma 8. *There are distinct a, b and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $|M_{3,2,3}(a,b,c)| = 0$ if and only if either $q = p^l$ with $p \neq 2$ and $q \neq 3$, or $q = 2^{2l}$, where l is a positive integer.*

Proof. First, if $3 | (q-1)$, i.e., $q = p^{2l}$ for a positive integer l, then let $a = 1, b = g^{\frac{q-1}{3}}, c = g^{\frac{2(q-1)}{3}}$, where g is a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_q$, and we are done.

Next, we consider the case $3 \nmid (q-1)$. If $a = 0$ then $(bc^{-1})^3 = 1$, which implies $b = c$. So we can assume that $a \neq 0$ and so we get

$$|M_{3,2,3}(a,b,c)| = a^5 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & a^{-1}b - 1 & a^{-1}c - 1 \\ 0 & (a^{-1}b)^4 - 1 & (a^{-1}c)^4 - 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

If $|M_{3,2,3}(a,b,c)| = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{(a^{-1}b)^4 - 1}{a^{-1}b - 1} = \frac{(a^{-1}c)^4 - 1}{a^{-1}c - 1} = -t$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and hence $a^{-1}b$ and $a^{-1}c$ are different roots of the equation $x^3 + x^2 + x + t + 1 = 0$.

Suppose that x_1, x_2 and x_3 are roots of $x^3 + x^2 + x + t + 1 = 0$ and so we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = -1 \\ x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 = 1. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Substitute x_3 in Eq. (10) by $x_3 = -1 - x_1 - x_2$, and so we get

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1 + x_2 + 1 = 0. \tag{11}$$

Denote the set of the solutions (x_1, x_2) of Eq. (11) by X and let

$$S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X | \, x_1 \neq x_2, x_1 \neq 0, 1, \text{ and } x_2 \neq 0, 1\}. \tag{12}$$

Let us check whether S is null. Consider three cases:

Case 1: $p \neq 2, 3$ and $q = p^{2l-1}$ where l is a positive integer.

After multiplying 4 on both sides of Eq. (11) and putting $y_1 = 2x_1 + x_2$ and $y_2 = x_2$, Eq. (11) becomes

$$y_1^2 + 3y_2^2 + 2y_1 + 2y_2 + 4 = 0. \tag{13}$$

Multiplying 3 on both sides of Eq. (13) and putting $z_1 = y_1 + 1$ and $z_2 = 3y_2 + 1$, Eq. (13) becomes

$$3z_1^2 + z_2^2 + 8 = 0. \tag{14}$$

By Lemma 5, the number of roots of Eq. (14) is $q + v(-8)\eta(-3)$ and so $|X| \geq q - 1$.

If $x_1 = x_2$, then Eq. (11) becomes

$$(3x_1 + 1)^2 + 2 = 0. \tag{15}$$

Thus Eq. (15) has at most two roots. Furthermore, it is required that both $x_1 \neq 1$ and $x_2 \neq 1$. If $x_1 = 1$, Eq. (11) becomes $(x_2 + 1)^2 = -2$, which has at most two roots in $\mathbb{F}_q$. The same result is for $x_2 = 1$. However, $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 1) \notin X$.

In addition, it is required that both x_1 and x_2 are not zero. If $x_1 = 0$, Eq. (11) becomes $x_2^2 + x_2 + 1 = 0$ and so it has two roots at most. The result is the same if $x_2 = 0$. In addition, $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0) \notin X$.

Thus, $|S| \geq q - 1 - 2 - 4 - 4 = q - 11$. So the result holds for any $q > 11$. In addition, Putting $a = 1$, $b = 2$ and $c = 3$ if $q = 5$, $a = 1$, $b = 2$ and $c = -3$ if $q = 7$ and $a = -1$, $b = 2$ and $c = 5$ if $q = 11$, we get $|M_{3,2,3}(a, b, c)| = 0$.

Case 2. $q = 2^{2l-1}$, where l is a positive integer.

Putting $y_1 = x_1 + 1$ and $y_2 = x_2 + 1$, Eq. (11) becomes

$$y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_1 y_2 = 0. \tag{16}$$

According to Lemma 6, the number of solutions of Eq. (16) in $\mathbb{F}_q^2$ is $q - v(0)q^0 = 1$ and so Eq. (11) has only one solution, that is, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 1$. Therefore, there are no distinct a, b and c such that $|M_{3,2,3}(a, b, c)| = 0$.

Case 3. $q = 3^{2l-1}$, where l is a positive integer.

Multiply 4 on both sides of Eq. (11) and put $y_1 = 2x_1 + x_2$ and $y_2 = x_2$, and so Eq. (11) becomes

$$(y_1 + 1)^2 + 2y_2 = 0. \tag{17}$$

The number of the solutions of Eq. (17) is q and so $|X| = q$.

If $x_1 = 0$, Eq. (11) becomes $(2x_2 + 1)^2 = 0$ and so $x_2 = 1$. Similarly, we have $(1, 0) \in X$, but $(0, 0) \notin X$. If $x_1 = 1$, Eq. (11) becomes $x_2^2 + 2x_2 = 0$

and so $x_2 = 0$, or $x_2 = 1$. Thus, $(1,1) \in X$. Therefore, $|S| \geq q-2-1 = q-3$ and so the result holds for $q > 3$.

In addition, it is east to check that there are no distinct a, b and c in $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $|M_{3,2,3}(a,b,c)| = 0$ if $q = 3$.

So the result is established in all cases. □

Lemma 9. *There are distinct a, b and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $|M_{3,1,2}(a,b,c)| = 0$ if and only if either $q = p^l$ with $p \neq 2$ and $q \neq 3$, or $q = 2^{2l}$, where l is a positive integer.*

Proof. The result holds for $3|(q-1)$ in the same way as the proof of Lemma 8. Similarly, assume that $a \neq 0$ and so we obtain

$$|M_{3,1,2}(a,b,c)| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & (a^{-1}b)^3 - 1 & (a^{-1}c)^3 - 1 \\ 0 & (a^{-1}b)^4 - 1 & (a^{-1}c)^4 - 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\frac{(a^{-1}b)^4 - 1}{(a^{-1}b)^3 - 1} = \frac{(a^{-1}c)^4 - 1}{(a^{-1}c)^3 - 1} = t.$$

So $a^{-1}b$ and $a^{-1}c$ are the solutions of the equation $x^3 + (1-t)x^2 + (1-t)x + (1-t) = 0$. Thus ab^{-1} and ac^{-1} are roots of the equation $y^3 + y^2 + y + t' = 0$. Similar to the proof in Lemma 8, the result is acquired. □

Lemma 10. *There are distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $M_{3,1,3}(a^2, b^2, c^2) = 0$ if and only if p is odd.*

The proof is obvious and so is omitted here.

By Lemma 7, 8, 9, 10 and Theorem 3, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. *If $m = 2$, the girth of the Wenger graph $J_m(q,i,j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$, is 6 under the following cases:*

(a) $(i,j) = (1,2)$, $q \neq 2^{2l-1}$ and $q \neq 3$ with a positive integer l;

(b) $(i,j) = (2,3)$, $q \neq 2^{2l-1}$ and $q \neq 3$ with a positive integer l;

(c) $(i,j) = (1,4)$ and $q \neq 2, 3, 5$;

(d) $(i,j) = (2,4)$ and $q \neq 2$.

If $m = 2$, the girth of $J_m(1,3)$ with $char(q) \neq 2$ is 4.

If $m = 2$, the girth of $J_m(q,i,j)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$, is 8 under the following cases:

(a) $(i,j) = (1,2)$ *and* $q = 2^{2l-1}$ *with a positive integer* l *or* $q = 3$*;*
(b) $(i,j) = (2,3)$ *and* $q = 2^{2l-1}$ *with a positive integer* l *or* $q = 3$*;*
(c) $(i,j) = (1,3)$ *and* $char(q) = 2$.
(d) $(i,j) = (1,4)$ *and* $q = 2, 3, 5$
(e) $(i,j) = (2,4)$ *and* $q = 2$.

Lemma 11. *For* $m = 3, 4, 5$*, there are distinct* $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ *such that the rank of* $M_{m+1,i,j}(a,b,c)$ *is less than* 3 *if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*
(i) $(i,j) = (1,4)$ *and* $3|\,(q-1)$.
(ii) $(i,j) = (2,5)$ *and* $3|\,(q-1)$.

The proof is clear and so is omitted here.

Theorem 6. *For* $m \geq 3$*, the girth of the Wenger graph* $J_m(q,i,j)$*,* $1 \leq i < j \leq m+2$*, is* 8 *except the following cases:*
(a) $m = 3, 4, 5$*,* $3|\,(q-1)$ *and* $(i,j) = (1,4)$.
(b) $m = 3, 4, 5$*,* $3|\,(q-1)$ *and* $(i,j) = (2,5)$.
(b) $m = 6$*,* $3|(q-1)$ *and* $(i,j) = (2,5)$.
Furthermore, the girth of such graphs is 6 *in the above cases.*

Proof. If $3|(q-1)$, put $a = 1$, $b = g^{\frac{q-1}{3}}$, and $c = g^{\frac{2(q-1)}{3}}$ where g is a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_q$. So the rank of $M_{m+1,i,j}(a,b,c)$ is less than 3 in those cases by Lemma 11 and so the girth of those graphs is 6 by Lemma 7. For other cases, the rank of the matrix $M_{m+1,i,j}(a,b,c)$ is 3 and so the girth is 8 by Theorem 3. □

4. Conclusions

In this paper we consider the diameter and girth of jumped Wenger graphs, whose functions jumps at two places. Using our similar method, we can get similar results for those graphs with more jumped places. In addition, determination of the exact diameter of any jumped Wenger graphs is our future task.

References

[1] S. M. Cioabă, F. Lazebnik, W. Li, On the spectrum of Wenger graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, **107** (2014) 132-139.

[2] X. Cao, M. Lu, D. Wan, L.-P. Wang and Q. Wang, Linearized Wenger graphs, Discrete Mathematics, 338 (2015) 1595-1602

[3] F. Lazebnik, V. Ustimenko, New examples of graphs without small cycles and of large size, European J. Combin., **14** (1993) 445-460.

[4] F. Lazebnik, V. Ustimenko, Explicit construction of graphs with arbitrary large girth and of large size, Discrete Appl. Math., **60** (1995) 275-284.

[5] F. Lazebnik, A. J. Woldar, General properties of some families of graphs defined by systems of equations, J. Graph Theory 38 (2001), no. 2, 65-86.

[6] R. Lidl, H. Niederriter, Finite Fields, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. Vol. **20**, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1983.

[7] J.-Y. Shao, C.-X. He, H.-Y. Shan, The existence of even cycles with specific lengths in Wenger's graph, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., **24** (2008) 281-288.

[8] R. Viglione, Properties of some algebraically defined graphs, PhD thesis, University of Delaware, 2002.

[9] R. Viglione, On the diameter of Wenger graphs, Acta Appl. Math. **104** (2008) 173-176.

[10] R. Wenger, Extremal graphs with no C^4's, C^6's, or C^{10}'s, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, **52**(1) (1991) 113-116.